## Application No. Applicant(s) REZNIKOV ET AL. 09/656.742 Interview Summary Art Unit **Examiner** Toan Ton 2871 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Chris Mitchell (1) David Muzilla. (2) Scott Oldham. (4) Toan Ton. Date of Interview: 27 April 2004. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: all. Identification of prior art discussed: Gibbons (US 5032009). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representatives proposed to amend to include an alignment layer comprising LC being irradiated and a liquid crystal cell. It appears that Gibbons fails to disclose the alignment layer comprising LC being irradiated (proposed claimed language). Further consideration and search will be needed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required